



## PILOT ASSESSMENT REPORT 2015

**Swedfund International AB** 





#### **SHARING THIS REPORT**

The PRI will not publish the assessment reports in 2015 however signatories can publish or share this report. Should they choose to share/publish, they must:

- Refer to the PRI assessment methodology;
- Refer to their full Assessment Report if only a section is published;
- Refer to their Transparency Report; and
- Take every care not to represent scores out of context.

#### PRI DISCLAIMER

This document is based on information reported directly by signatories, and on a pilot assessment methodology that remains under consultation. Moreover, the underlying information has not been audited by the PRI or any other party acting on its behalf. While every effort has been made to produce a fair representation of performance, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for damage caused by use of or reliance on the information contained within this report.

#### INTRODUCTION

Thank you for participating in the annual PRI Reporting and Assessment process.

The Assessment report is designed to provide feedback to signatories to support ongoing learning and development.

This year's assessment report and associated methodology is being piloted for the second year and is still subject to change through consultation with Signatories.

A brief description of the information presented in each section of this report and how it should be interpreted is provided below. Further information about the high-level assessment methodology can be found here and a companion document explaining the assessment of each indicator can be found here.

#### ORGANISATIONAL OVERVIEW

This section provides an overview of the main characteristics of your organisation. This information determined which modules and indicators you reported on and determines your peer groups.

#### SUMMARY SCORECARD

This section provides an overview of your aggregate score for each module and the median score. These bands range from 'A+' (top band) to 'E' (lowest band).

#### ASSESSMENT BY MODULE

For each module you reported on, you will see a section that shows your:

- Indicator scorecard
- Section scores
- Comparison to peer groups

#### INDICATOR SCORECARD AND MODULE SCORES

#### SECTION SCORES

Each module is divided into several sections. The total number of stars you can achieve in each section are added together and presented in a spider chart.

#### PEER COMPARISON

Your total aggregated performance band for each module will be compared against your peer groups in a series of distribution charts.

#### CONFIDENTIALITY

During the first pilot year the PRI asked signatories not to share or publish the pilot assessment reports. In 2015 the results of the assessment can be shared and published externally if the signatory wishes to do so. PRI will not publish the assessment reports in 2015. Please see a full explanation on Page 2 of this report.

For more information, please contact the PRI's Reporting and Assessment Team on reporting@unpri.org or +44 (0) 20 3714 3185

### **SUMMARY**

#### **ORGANISATIONAL OVERVIEW**

This section provides an overview of your organisation. These characteristics are used to define your peer groups.

MAIN CHARACTERISTICS				
Name	Swedfund International AB			
Signatory Category	Asset Owner			
Signatory Type	Development finance institution			
Size	US\$ 0.1 - 0.99 billion AUM			
Main Asset Class	Multi-Asset			
Signed PRI Initiative	2012			
Region	Europe			
Country	Sweden			
Disclosure of Voluntary Indicators	100% from 27 Voluntary indicators			

YOUR ORGANISATION'S ASSETS UNDER MANAGEMENT (AUM) <sup>†</sup>					
ASSET CLASS	INTERNALLY MANAGED (%)	EXTERNALLY MANAGED (%)			
Listed equity	0	0			
Fixed income – corporate	0	0			
Fixed income – government	0	0			
Fixed income – other	0	0			
Private debt	10-50%	0			
Private equity	10-50%	10-50%			
Property	0	0			
Infrastructure	0	0			
Commodities	0	0			
Hedge funds	0	0			
Forestry	0	0			
Farmland	0	0			
Inclusive finance	0	0			
Cash	0	0			
Other 1	<10%	0			
Other 2	0 four ranges: 0%: <10%: 10-50% and	0			

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>Asset classes were aggregated to four ranges: 0%; <10%; 10-50% and >50%

#### **SUMMARY SCORECARD**

The table below presents an overview of all of your organisation's module scores.

AUM (%)	MODULE	E	D	С	В	Α	A+
OVERA	OVERARCHING APPROACH TO RESPONSIBLE INVESTMENT MODULE						
	01. Overarching Approach					You So	cored A+
INDIRE	CT – MANAGER SELECTION, A	PPOINTM		Score B	G MODUI	F	
0	02. Listed Equity			NOT APP			
			Median	Score B			
0	03. Fixed Income Corporate	Median	Score D	NOT APP	LICABLE		
0	04. Fixed Income Government	E		NOT APP	LICABLE		
10-50%	05. Private Equity		•			You So	cored A+
0	06. Property		Median	NOT APP	LICABLE		
	00. 1 Topolity	Median	Score D	NOT ALL	LIOABLE		
0	07. Infrastructure		Median Score	NOT APP	LICABLE		
DIRECT	& ACTIVE OWNERSHIP MODU	JLES					
0	08. Listed Equity – Incorporation		ľ	NOT APP			
See Pg5	09. Listed Equity – Active Ownership			NOT APP	LICABLE		
0	10. Fixed Income Corporate		Median	NOT APP	LICABLE	l	
		1	Median Score				
0	11. Fixed Income Government	E		NOT APP	LICABLE		
10-50%	12. Private Equity		Median	Score B		You So	cored A+
0	13. Property			NOT APP	LICABLE		
0	14. Infrastructure	1	Median Score	NOT APP	LICABLE		
			Median	Score B			

#### YEAR-ON-YEAR PERFORMANCE

The table below presents an overview of all of your organisation's module scores from the 2014/15 reporting cycle compared against the 2013/14 reporting cycle.

Module <sup>††</sup>	2014 Level	2015 Level	Change*
01. Overarching Approach Module	Α	<b>A</b> +	1
Indirect Modules			
02. Indirect Listed Equity	NA	NA	_
03. Indirect Fixed Income Corporate	NA	NA	_
04. Indirect Fixed Income Government	NA	NA	
05. Indirect Private Equity	Α	<b>A</b> +	4
06. Indirect Property	NA	NA	
07. Indirect Infrastructure	NA	NA	_
Direct & Active Ownership Module	es		
08. Listed Equity Incorporation	NA	NA	_
09. Listed Equity Active Ownership	NA	NA	_
10. Fixed Income Corporate	NA	NA	
11. Fixed Income Government	NA	NA	_
12. Private Equity	Α	A+	4
13. Property	NA	NA	_
14. Infrastructure	NA	NA	_

<sup>\*</sup> A change in Module Band can only be shown with two years of data. Please note that although indicator and total scores (stars) may have increased or decreased this is the change in Module Band only.

# ASSESSMENT BY MODULE

#### **OVERARCHING APPROACH MODULE**

#### INDICATOR SCORECARD

This module covers your organisation's overall approach to responsible investment, including governance, responsible investment policy, objectives and targets, the resources allocated to responsible investment and the approach to collaboration on responsible investment and public policy-related issues.

If your organisation did not respond to an applicable indicator, you will see a score of  $^{\star\star\star\star}$ .


Module	OVERARCHING APPROACH MODULE
Total Score	29★ (out of a maximum 30★ from 10 <sup>†††</sup> indicators including the following additionally assessed indicators: OA 09a, OA 09b, OA 12)
Band	A+

SECTION	INDICATOR			MEDIAN	YOUR	
SECTION	NUMBER	TYPE	TOPIC	PEER SCORE (# peers)	SCORE	
PL POLIOY /	OA 02	CORE	Disclosure of RI policy and other guidance documents	★★☆ (924)	***	_
RI POLICY / POLICIES	OA 03	CORE	Policy type and coverage	<b>★★★</b> (924)	***	_
	OA 04	CORE	Conflicts of interest	<b>★★★</b> (924)	***	•
OBJECTIVES & STRATEGIES	OA 05	CORE	RI goals and objectives	<b>★★★</b> (924)	***	
	OA 08	CORE	RI roles and responsibilities	*** (924)	***	
GOVERNANCE & HUMAN RESOURCES	OA 09a	ADDITIONAL	RI in performance management & rewards	★★☆ (924)	***	
	OA 09b	ADDITIONAL	RI in personal development / training	☆☆☆ (924)	***	
	OA 10	CORE	Collaborative organisations / initiatives	★★☆ (924)	***	
PROMOTING RI	OA 11	CORE	Promoting RI independently	<b>★★★</b> (924)	***	
	OA 12	ADDITIONAL	Dialogue with public policy makers	☆☆☆ (924)	***	
ASSURANCE OF RESPONSES	OA 19	ADDITIONAL	Internal / external review of responses	★★☆ (924)	***	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†††</sup>The total number of indicators used to determine your score may be less than the full set of indicators above as the assessment methodology discards your lowest scoring additional assessed indicators.

#### **SECTION SCORES**


This chart summarises your scores across each section of the Overarching Approach module against the average scores for other signatories reporting in this module.



#### **COMPARISON WITH PEERS**


Your Overarching Approach module score has been compared to relevant peer groups in a series of distribution charts below.


Module	OVERARCHING APPROACH
Band	A+



Your Overarching Approach module score has been compared to relevant peer groups in a series of distribution charts below.


Module	OVERARCHING APPROACH
Band	<b>A</b> +






Your Overarching Approach module score has been compared to relevant peer groups in a series of distribution charts below.

Module	OVERARCHING APPROACH
Band	<b>A</b> +





#### **INDIRECT – PRIVATE EQUITY**

#### **INDICATOR SCORECARD**

This module is designed for investors who outsource some or all of their investment activities to external investment managers and focuses primarily on the broad processes in place for selecting, appointing and monitoring external managers with regards to responsible investment.


If your organisation did not respond to an applicable indicator, you will see a score of \*\*\*.

Module	INDIRECT – PRIVATE EQUITY
Total Score	15★ (out of a maximum 15★ from 5 indicators including the following additionally assessed indicators: SAM 10b, SAM 10c)
Band	A+

SECTION		INDICATOR			YOUR	
SECTION	NUMBER	TYPE	TOPIC	PEER SCORE (# peers)	SCORE	
OVERVIEW	SAM 02	CORE	Role of investment consultants	★★☆ (37)	n/a	_
	SAM 10a	CORE	Selection processes – General	★★☆ (198)	***	
SELECTION	SAM 10b	ADDITIONAL	Selection processes – Investment selection	☆☆☆ (198)	***	_
	SAM 10c	ADDITIONAL	Selection processes – Investment monitoring	★★☆ (198)	***	
APPOINTMENT	SAM 11	ADDITIONAL	Appointment considerations	ជៈជៈជ (198)	***	_
	SAM 12a	CORE	Monitoring processes – General	★☆☆ (198)	***	
MONITORING	SAM 12c	ADDITIONAL	Monitoring processes – Investment selection	☆☆☆ (198)	***	
	SAM 12c	ADDITIONAL	Monitoring processes – Investment monitoring	☆☆☆ (198)	***	
COMMUNICATION	SAM 16	CORE	Disclosure of RI considerations	☆☆☆ (198)	***	_

#### **SECTION SCORES**


This chart summarises your scores across each section of the Indirect – Private Equity module against the average scores for other signatories reporting in this module.



#### **COMPARISON WITH PEERS**


Your Indirect – Private Equity module score has been compared to relevant peer groups in a series of distribution charts below.


Module	INDIRECT – PRIVATE EQUITY
Band	A+



Your Indirect – Private Equity module score has been compared to relevant peer groups in a series of distribution charts below.


Module	INDIRECT – PRIVATE EQUITY	
Band	A+	






Your Indirect – Private Equity module score has been compared to relevant peer groups in a series of distribution charts below.

Module	INDIRECT – PRIVATE EQUITY	
Band	A+	





#### **DIRECT – PRIVATE EQUITY**

#### **INDICATOR SCORECARD**


This module looks at responsible investment implementation during fundraising, pre- and post-investment processes.

Module	PRIVATE EQUITY
Total Score	26★ (out of a maximum 27★ from 9 indicators including the following additionally assessed indicators: PE 10, PE 11, PE 17)
Band	A+

SECTION	INDICATOR			MEDIAN	YOUR	
SECTION	NUMBER	TYPE	TOPIC	PEER SCORE (# peers)	SCORE	
OVERVIEW	PE 04	CORE	Investment guidelines and RI	<b>★★★</b> (257)	***	_
FUNDRAISING	PE 05	CORE	Fund placement documents and RI	★★☆ (208)	n/a	_
T GREAT HOME	PE 06	ADDITIONAL	Formal commitments to RI in LPAs	★☆☆ (208)	n/a	_
	PE 09	CORE	ESG issues in investment selection process	<b>★★★</b> (257)	***	_
PRE-INVESTMENT (SELECTION)	PE 10	ADDITIONAL	ESG information considered in investment selection	★★☆ (257)	***	_
	PE 11	ADDITIONAL	Continuous improvements in investees	☆☆☆ (257)	***	_
	PE 12	ADDITIONAL	ESG impact measures in selection process	★☆☆ (257)	***	_
POST- INVESTMENT (MONITORING & ACTIVE OWNERSHIP)	PE 13	CORE	Proportion of companies ESG performance in investment monitoring	★★☆ (257)	***	-
	PE 14	CORE	Percentage of portfolio companies with sustainability policy	★☆☆ (257)	***	_
	PE 15	ADDITIONAL	Actions taken by portfolio companies to incorporate ESG issues into operations	☆ ☆ ☆ (257)	***	_
	PE 17	ADDITIONAL	ESG issues in pre- exit	☆ ☆ ☆ (257)	***	_
OUTPUTS AND OUTCOMES	PE 18	ADDITIONAL	ESG issues affected financial/ESG performance	☆☆☆ (257)	***	
COMMUNICATION	PE 20a	CORE	Disclosure of approach to public	☆☆☆ (257)	***	_
	PE 20b	CORE	Disclosure of approach to clients/beneficiaries	★★☆ (257)	***	_

#### **SECTION SCORES**


This chart summarises your scores across each section of the Private Equity module against the average scores for other signatories reporting in this module.



#### **COMPARISON WITH PEERS**


Your Direct – Private Equity module score has been compared to relevant peer groups in a series of distribution charts below.


Module	PRIVATE EQUITY	
Band	A+	



Your Direct – Private Equity module score has been compared to relevant peer groups in a series of distribution charts below.


Module	PRIVATE EQUITY	
Band	A+	






Your Direct – Private Equity module score has been compared to relevant peer groups in a series of distribution charts below.

Module	PRIVATE EQUITY	
Band	A+	





## FURTHER READING / RESOURCES PRI REPORTING FRAMEWORK 2014/15

Overarching Approach Module			
OA 10	The PRI encourages signatories to take an active role in other collaborative initiatives working to promote RI.		
Private E	Private Equity Module		
PE 12	The PRI scores signatories for demonstrating that ESG issues impacted the selection process for private equity investments. You might find useful the following case studies.		
PE 14	It is considered good practice to track the percentage of your portfolio companies that have an ESG/sustainability policy.		
PE 15	The PRI scores signatories on the number of selections made. You might find useful the <i>Ownership</i> section of: Guidance for General Partners.		
PE 18	It is considered good practice to measure whether your approach to ESG issues in private equity investments has impacted financial and/or ESG performance.		
GET SUPPORT F		FURTHER INFORMATION	
To enquire about a one-on-one session with the PRI team, please click here.		For further asset class specific information and resources, please click here.  You might also be interested in PRI's extranet; which contains papers, guidance documents, webinars and case studies. To login/create an account, click here.	

#### INFORMATION

#### **GLOSSARY:**

**Not Applicable/NA:** Generally means a module is not applicable to you as you have 0% assets allocated to that asset class. For the incorporation modules and active ownership module this could mean that you have a purely passive/thematic approach or vote/engage solely through external managers.

**Not Reported/NR:** Generally means you hold <10% in that asset class and have chosen not to report on it. In the case of the fixed income and infrastructure modules you may have >10% AUM but chose not to report.

#### **PEERING CATEGORIES**

Following feedback from signatories about the 2014 assessment reports the PRI has made several changes in an attempt to make the peering categories more relevant. The number of categories has been reduced and where possible the category has been further split by asset owner/investment manager to provide more relevant and granular feedback and improving learning outcomes for each type of signatory. Unlike last year, in 2015 investment managers will only be peered with other investment manager signatories while asset owners will continue to be peered with all signatories. This has been done to provide more relevant and granular feedback. The table below explains the breakdown for each category.

Peering Category	Asset Owner	Investment Manager	
All Respondents	This includes all applicable signatories for that module. Including asset owners and investment managers.		
Category	This includes all asset owner signatories	This includes all investment manager signatories.	
Size	This includes all asset owners in your applicable PRI fee band.	This includes all investment managers in your applicable PRI fee band.	
Signed PRI	This includes all asset owner and investment managers in your signature year.	This includes all investment managers in your signature year.	
Region	This includes all asset owner and investment managers in your region.	This includes all investment managers in your region.	

#### **FEEDBACK**

To help the PRI refine and improve the assessment reports and methodology please respond to the 2015 Assessment Consultation here.